

## Gatwick Northern Runway Project DCO (Project Reference: TR020005) Deadline 8 Submission (7 August 2024) Crawley Borough Council (IP Ref: GATW-AFP107), West Sussex County Council (IP Ref: 20044715), Horsham District Council (IP Ref: 20044739) and Mid Sussex District Council (IP Ref: 20044737)

## Overview

This document provides a response at Deadline 8 (7 August 2024) from the above West Sussex Joint Local Authorities comprising Crawley Borough Council, West Sussex County Council, Mid Sussex District Council and Horsham District Council (hereafter the "Authorities") on the following responses to the Applicant's Deadline 5 Submissions:

- [REP7-028] 5.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 5.3.2 Code of Construction Practice Annex 5 - Construction Resources and Waste Management Plan Version 3 (Clean) / [REP7-029] (Tracked).
- [AS-157] 5.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 7.8.2 Written Scheme of Investigation for Post-Consent Archaeological Investigations and Historic Building Recording - West Sussex -Version 3 (Clean) / [AS-158] (Tracked)
- [REP7-097] 10.60 Third Change Application Report
- 1. [REP7-028] 5.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 5.3.2 Code of Construction Practice Annex 5 - Construction Resources and Waste Management Plan Version 3 (Clean) / [REP7-029] (Tracked).
- 1.1 The Authorities have no comments to make on the updated Construction Resources and Waste Management Plan (v3).

## 2. [AS-157] - 5.3 Environmental Statement Appendix 7.8.2 Written Scheme of Investigation for Post-Consent Archaeological Investigations and Historic Building Recording -West Sussex - Version 3 (Clean) / [AS-158] (Tracked)

- 2.1 The submitted revised WSI (AS-157 and AS-158) from the Applicant covers many of the concerns of the CBC/ WSCC archaeological consultants. The requirements for the increase of excavation areas within Museum Field and Brook Farm are defined within the WSI and are now considered to meet requirements, the building recording methodology has now been changed to include a level 3 record of the control tower and there is a commitment to undertake a programme of outreach promoting the results of the archaeological work.
- 2.2 There is now agreement with the proposed sign off procedure for each area of the archaeological works. Although the document is significantly improved there remain **two areas outstanding**, one which requires clarification and the other where an additional area of archaeological investigation needs to be added. These are as follows:
  - Clarity is needed that the work proposed on 6.5.2 will include other surface deposits identified by the investigation. This is suggested within 5.5 under bullet point 3, however, 6.5.2 only relates to palaeochannels or other deposits of geoarchaeological potential.
  - (ii) There has been discussion of other sites (sensitive due to underground services) including the area for new hotel offices and multi-storey carpark H (Works site number 28) which is still not identified within the revised WSI despite the Authorities written request for this to be so. While it was acknowledged that this area only had a limited amount of services within the present car park and considering its early construction there is a potential of surviving archaeological deposits. It is still recommended that some archaeological work is undertaken within this area (perhaps in a staged approach, with initial low level of trenching to assess survivability and then wider if there is good survival.)
- 2.3 Subject to these 2 matters above being included, the Authorities could recommend the agreement of the WSI.

## 3. REP7-097] - 10.60 Third Change Application Report

3.1 The Authorities have no detailed comments to make on this project change.